

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/920,27	72 08/22/	97	MILLER		F	Ül	83387024003
Γ		BIEKER-BRA EBLING, LLF RAL STREET A 02110			一	EXAMINER TENG, S		
						ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
	BOSTON MA					164	16	12
	•			•		DATE MAILE	<b>)</b> :	04/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/920,272

Applicant(s)

Miller et al.

Examiner

Sally Teng

Group Art Unit 1646



TH	IE PERI	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]								
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing dat is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six rejection.								
	date on determin	ctension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed res in which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and sining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pur tited from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in	also the date for the purposes of suant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be							
X	Appella period	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on If for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37	Apr 19, 1999 (or within any CFR 1.192(a).							
Ap bu	plicant' t is NO	t's response to the final rejection, filed on $\underline{Apr\ 19,\ 1999}$ has been consider DT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ed with the following effect,							
X	The pro	The proposed amendment(s):								
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.									
	🔀 will not be entered because:									
	X	X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).								
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).								
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materissues for appeal.	ally reducing or simplifying the							
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of final	ally rejected claims.							
	NOTE: Claim 22 has been amended to an invention that is independent and distinct from the invention originally									
		claimed.								
	☐ Ap	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):								
	Newly separa	y proposed or amended claims would rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	be allowable if submitted in a							
X	for allo <u>The te</u>	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT lowance because: term " isolated precursor cells" is not defined in the specification as being free of the limited to precursor cells free from other cells. In fact, some of the claims end	all other cell types. The claims							
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.									
X	For pu	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):								
	Claims	Claims allowed: None								
	Claims	Claims objected to: None								
	Claims	s rejected: <u>1-11 and 21-30</u>								
	The pr	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not be	een approved by the Examiner.							
	Note t	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).								
X	Other	from precursor cells. Both Calof and Mayo disclose precursor cells cells	Della Tene							